Riemann Integrals

Thomas R. Cameron

November 7, 2025

1 Darboux Integrals

Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be bounded. Then, there exists $m,M\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$m(b-a) \le L(f,P) \le U(f,P) \le M(b-a),$$

for any partition P of [a, b]. Therefore, the upper and lower Darboux sums for f form a bounded set, which guarantees the existence of the upper and lower Darboux integrals. In particular, the upper Darboux integral is defined by

$$U(f) = \inf\{U(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$$

and the lower Darboux integral is defined by

$$L(f) = \sup\{L(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$$

The following lemma shows that the lower Darboux integral is always bounded above by the upper Darboux integral.

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then, $L(f) \leq U(f)$.

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that L(f) > U(f). Define $\epsilon = L(f) - U(f) > 0$. Then, there exists partitions P and Q of [a,b] such that

$$L(f, P) > L(f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
$$U(f, Q) < U(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Therefore,

$$L(f,P) - U(f,Q) > \left(L(f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) - \left(U(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = 0.$$

However, this implies that U(f,Q) < L(f,P), which contradicts Corollary 2.2 (Darboux Sums notes).

2 Riemann Integrals

If L(f) = U(f), then we say that f is Riemann integrable. In this case, we denote the Riemann integral by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = L(f) = U(f).$$

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for when a bounded function is Riemann integrable.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then, f is Riemann integrable if and only if for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ there exists a partition P of [a,b] such that $U(f,P)-L(f,P)<\epsilon$.

Proof. Suppose that f is Riemann integrable. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, there exists a partition P of [a,b] such that

$$L(f,P) > L(f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Similarly, there exists a partition Q of [a, b] such that

$$U(f,Q) < U(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since $P \cup Q$ is refinement of both P and Q, Theorem 2.1 (Darboux Sums Notes) implies that

$$L(f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(f, P) \le L(f, P \cup Q) \le U(f, P \cup Q) \le U(f, Q) < U(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$U(f, P \cup Q) - L(f, P \cup Q) < \left(U(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) - \left(L(f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$$
$$= (U(f) - L(f)) + \epsilon = \epsilon.$$

Conversely, suppose that for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ there exists a partition P of [a,b] such that $U(f,P) < L(f,P) + \epsilon$. Then,

$$U(f) \le U(f,P) < L(f,P) + \epsilon \le L(f) + \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $U(f) \leq L(f)$. By Lemma 1.1, $L(f) \leq U(f)$. Thus, L(f) = U(f) and it follows that f is Riemann integrable.

As an example, define $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any partition P of [0,1], L(f,P)=0 and U(f,P)=1. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 states that f is not Riemann integrable.

As another example, define $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ by f(x) = x. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P = \{0, 1/n, 2/n, ..., 1\}$ be a partition of [0,1]. Then,

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) = \frac{n^2 + n}{2n^2} - \frac{n^2 - n}{2n^2} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 states that f is Riemann integrable.

As a final example, define $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 < x < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P = \{0, 1/n, 2/n, \dots, 1\}$ be a partition of [0, 1]. Then,

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) = \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2n^2} = \frac{6n - 4}{2n^2} < \frac{3}{n}.$$

For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{3}{n} < \epsilon$. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 states that f is Riemann integrable.